



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/295,818	04/21/1999	MARTIN HEBERT	1400.9801210	7621
25697	7590 02/03/2004	EXAMINER		
	NYDER & ASSOCIA	COURTENAY	COURTENAY III, ST JOHN	
SUITE 107	115 WILD BASIN RD. SUITE 107			PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, T	X 78746		2126	10
	•		DATE MAILED: 02/03/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				RRG
,	1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	-	09/295,818	HEBERT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		St. John Courtenay III	2126	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	dress
Period fo	• •			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PRIOR STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RELATION IN THE PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PRIOR OF THE PRIOR	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	1 April 1999		
	_	his action is non-final.		
′=	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal mat		e merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
•	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion		
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	· · · ——	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National	Stage
* 5	application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a I	,	received.	
13)[] <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisiona	
	ince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78.	first sentence of the specific	ation or in an Application	Data Sheet.
	i) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has b	een received.	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence or			
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No((s)
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTC	
Inform نے رق	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s) 6) 🔲 Other:	t 1	

Application/Control Number:

09/295,818 Art Unit: 2126

Detailed Action

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1- 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by **Davis et al.** (U.S. Patent 6,225,994).

Davis discloses an invention directed to the same problem disclosed in the instant specification. Davis teaches a method for providing reliable interoperation of a plurality of independent software modules, the method comprises the steps of:

- determining selection of an object, by one of the plurality of independent software modules to produce a new object selection, as claimed [e.g., see "determining if the selected object is relevant to it" and associated discussion beginning col. 2, line 15];
- determining object selection status, which indicates a selected object and an associated one of the plurality of independent software modules, as claimed [e.g., see status

Application/Control Number:

09/295,818 Art Unit: 2126

information, col. 1, line 41, col. 3, line 59, see node status, col. 14, line 2 and associated discussion]; and

- updating the object selection status when a difference occurs between at least one of the one of the plurality of independent software modules and the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules and the new object selection and the selected object, as claimed [e.g., see "reconcile step" and "changing the node status" and associated discussion beginning col. 13, lines 47-53 and con't col. 14, lines 1-19; see also Prototype: BOOL updateForm(struct *updateInfo), col. 8, line 54; see also "Message to update this object in the ATMC base" col. 10, line 32].
- a user interface (col. 2, line 50, see "GEM", the Graphical Element Manager that provides the GUI component (Graphical User Interface), software modules, processing modules, messages [col. 2, line 1], and selected objects [col. 2, line 15] and elements [col. 2, line 1] operatively coupled as claimed.

Claims 1- 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by **Montague et al.** (U.S. Patent 5,675,782).

As per independent claim 1:

Montague teaches a method for providing reliable interoperation of a plurality of independent software modules, the method comprises the steps of:

a) determining selection of an object by one of the plurality of independent software modules to produce a new object selection [e.g., see "objects" and "access rights" and

09/295,818 Art Unit: 2126

associated discussion beginning col. 10, line 10, see changing the access rights by a user, col. 10, line 35];

- b) determining object selection status, which indicates a selected object and an associated one of the plurality of independent software modules [e.g., see changing the access rights by a user, col. 10, line 35 and associated discussion]; and
- c) updating the object selection status when a difference occurs between at least one of the one of the plurality of independent software modules and the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules and the new object selection and the selected object [e.g., see "granting access rights to an object or container for one or more trustees" and associated discussion beginning col. 11, line 19].

As per independent claim 12:

Montague teaches a method for providing reliable interoperation of a plurality of independent software modules, the method comprises the steps of.

- a) maintaining object selection status that includes identity of a selected object and identity of an associated one of the plurality of independent software modules, wherein the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules selected the selected object [e.g., see "objects" and "access rights" and associated discussion beginning col. 10, line 10, see changing the access rights by a user, col. 10, line 35];
- b) detecting selection of a function by an independent software module of the plurality of independent software

09/295,818

Art Unit: 2126

modules [e.g., see changing the access rights by a user, col. 10, line 35 and associated discussion]; and

c) performing, by one of the plurality of independent software modules, the function upon the selected object [e.g., see "granting access rights to an object or container for one or more trustees" and associated discussion beginning col. 11, line 19].

As per independent claim 20:

Montague teaches a software management system that comprises:

- a plurality of independent software modules and a processing module [see "plurality of APIs", col. 9, line 67]; and,
- memory [inherent in the networked computer system disclosed by Montague, col. 4] operably coupled to the processing module, wherein the memory includes operational instructions that cause the processing module to:
- (a) determine selection of an object by one of the plurality of independent software modules to produce a new object selection [e.g., see "objects" and "access rights" and associated discussion beginning col. 10, line 10, see changing the access rights by a user, col. 10, line 35];
- (b) determine object selection status, which indicates a selected object and an associated one of the plurality of independent software modules [e.g., see changing the access rights by a user, col. 10, line 35 and associated discussion]; and

Page 5

09/295,818 Art Unit: 2126 Page 6

(c) update the object selection status when a difference occurs between at least one of the one of the plurality of independent software modules and the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules and the new object selection and the selected object [e.g., see "granting access rights to an object or container for one or more trustees" and associated discussion beginning col. 11, line 19].

As per independent claim 30:

Montague teaches a software management system comprises:

- a plurality of independent software modules and a processing module [see "plurality of APIs", col. 9, line 67];
- memory [inherent in the networked computer system disclosed by Montague, col. 4]operably coupled to the processing module, wherein the memory includes operational instructions that cause the processing module to:
- (a) maintain object selection status that includes identity of a selected object and identity of an associated one of the plurality of independent software modules, wherein the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules selected the selected object [e.g., see "objects" and "access rights" and associated discussion beginning col. 10, line 10, see changing the access rights by a user, col. 10, line 35];
- (b) detect selection of a function by an independent software module of the plurality of independent software modules [e.g., see changing the access rights by a user, col. 10, line 35 and associated discussion]; and

Application/Control Number:

09/295,818 Art Unit: 2126

(c) performing, by one of the plurality of independent software modules, the function upon the selected object [e.g., see "granting access rights to an object or container for one or more trustees" and associated discussion beginning col. 11, line 19].

As per dependent claim 2: Montague teaches:

- evoking a function by an independent software module of the plurality of independent software modules [e.g., see "GrantAllAccessRights" function and associated discussion col. 11, line 20]; and
- performing, by the independent software module, the function upon the selected object identified in the object selection status [see setting the object access rights, col. 11, line 33].

As per dependent claim 3:

Montague teaches obtaining, by the independent software module, the function from the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules [e.g., see "ReplaceAllAccessRights" function and associated discussion col. 11, line 31].

As per dependent claim 4:

Montague teaches informing, by the independent software module, the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules to perform at least one of: the function upon the selected object and a corresponding function upon the selected object [e.g., see "IsAccessPermitted" function and associated discussion col. 11, line 49].

Application/Control Number:

09/295,818 Art Unit: 2126

As per dependent claim 5:

Montague teaches updating the object selection status with at least one of the new object selection and the one of the plurality of independent software modules [see discussion col. 11, lines 18-29].

As per dependent claim 6:

Montague teaches providing a deselect message to the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules when the status is updated and when the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules is not a controlling software module [e.g., see "RevokeExplicitAccessRights" function and associated discussion col. 11, line 36].

As per dependent claim 7:

Montague teaches receiving a select message from the one of the plurality of independent software modules when the one of the plurality of independent software modules is not a controlling software module [e.g., see "EnumerateTrustees" function and associated discussion col. 12, line 12].

As per dependent claim 8:

Montague teaches providing a select message to the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules when the status is updated and when the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules is not a controlling software module [e.g., see "GetEffectiveAccessRights" function and associated discussion col. 11, line 67].

As per dependent claim 9:

Montague teaches the plurality of independent software modules includes at least one network management software module and a plurality of element network software modules, wherein the at least one network software module performs network level

09/295,818 Art Unit: 2126 Page 9

operations for a communication network and wherein each of the plurality of element network software modules performs area level operations for a corresponding portion of the communication network [e.g., see "network configuration" and associated discussion col. 5, lines 13-51].

As per dependent claim 10:

Montague teaches the plurality of independent software modules includes computer applications, wherein at least some of the computer applications control independent user interfaces [e.g., see "dialog boxes" and associated discussion entire col. 9, beginning line 1].

As per dependent claim 11:

Montague teaches the object includes at least one object element [see object discussion beginning line 11, col. 10].

As per dependent claim 13:

Montague teaches step further comprises detecting selection via a user interface associated with the independent software module [col. 9, lines 43-51].

As per dependent claim 14:

Montague teaches receiving notification of the function from another one of the plurality of independent software modules, wherein the another one of the plurality of independent software modules detected selection of the function via a user interface associated with the one of the plurality of independent software modules [e.g., see user selection of object access rights and associated discussion col. 10, lines 35 - 67].

As per dependent claim 15:

Montague teaches:

Application/Control Number:

09/295,818 Art Unit: 2126

- determining selection of a new selected object by one of the plurality of independent software modules to produce a new object selection [e.g., see changing the access rights by a user, col. 10, line 35 and associated discussion];
- determining current object selection status [e.g., see "GetEffectiveAccessRights" function and associated discussion col. 11, line 67]; and
- updating the object selection status when a difference occurs between at least one of the one of the plurality of independent software modules and the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules and the new object selection and the selected object [see discussion col. 11, lines 18-29].

As per dependent claim 16:

Montague teaches updating the object selection status with at least one of the new selected object and the one of the plurality of independent software modules [see discussion col. 11, lines 18-29].

As per dependent claim 17:

Montague teaches providing a deselect message to the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules when the status is updated and when the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules is not a controlling software module [e.g., see "RevokeExplicitAccessRights" function and associated discussion col. 11, line 36].

As per dependent claim 18:

Montague teaches receiving a select message from the one of the plurality of independent software modules when the one of the plurality of independent software modules is not a controlling

09/295,818 Art Unit: 2126

/295,818

software module [e.g., see user selection of object access rights and associated discussion col. 10, lines 35 - 67].

As per dependent claim 19:

Montague teaches providing a select message to the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules when the status is updated and when the associated one of the plurality of independent software modules is not a controlling software module [e.g., see "ReplaceAllAccessRights" function and associated discussion col. 11, line 31].

As per dependent claim 21:

See the rejection of claim 2 detailed above.

As per dependent claim 22:

See the rejection of claim 3 detailed above.

As per dependent claim 23:

See the rejection of claim 4 detailed above.

As per dependent claim 24:

See the rejection of claim 5 detailed above.

As per dependent claim 25:

See the rejection of claim 6 detailed above.

As per dependent claim 26:

See the rejection of claim 7 detailed above.

As per dependent claim 27:

See the rejection of claim 8 detailed above.

As per dependent claim 28:

See the rejection of claim 9 detailed above.

Page 11

Application/Control Number:

09/295,818 Art Unit: 2126

As per dependent claim 29:

See the rejection of claim 11 detailed above.

As per dependent claim 31:

See the rejection of claim 13 detailed above.

As per dependent claim 32:

See the rejection of claim 14 detailed above.

As per dependent claim 33:

See the rejection of claim 15 detailed above.

As per dependent claim 34:

See the rejection of claim 5 detailed above.

As per dependent claim 35:

See the rejection of claim 6 detailed above.

As per dependent claims 36 and 37:

See the rejection of claim 7 detailed above.

09/295,818 Art Unit: 2126 Page 13

Prior Art not relied upon:

Please refer to the references listed on the attached PTO-892 which are not relied upon in the claim rejections detailed above.

09/295,818

Art Unit: 2126

Page 14

How to Contact the Examiner:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **St. John Courtenay III** whose voice telephone number is **(703) 308-5217.** A voice mail service is also available at this number. Normal Flex work schedule: M – F 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM

All responses sent by U.S. Mail should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Patent Customers advised to FAX communications to the USPTO

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/faxnotice.pdf

Effective Oct. 15, 2003, ALL patent application correspondence transmitted by FAX must be directed to the new PTO central FAX number:

NEW PTO CENTRAL FAX NUMBER: 703-872-9306

 Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: (703) 305-3900.

Please direct inquiries regarding fees, paper matching, and other issues not involving the Examiner to:

Technical Center 2100 CUSTOMER SERVICE: 703 306-5631

The Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) is available online at: http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/mpep/index.html

PRIMARY EXAMINER